











# Background

- Toronto air quality preliminary model results indicate that a major local source of fine particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>) is attributable to fine road dust;
- New street sweeper technology were reportedly capable of removing at least 80% of fine road dust;
- An 80% removal of fine road dust (PM<sub>10</sub>) could result in 25%-30% improvement in the City's PM<sub>10</sub> air quality;
- Auditor General identified sweepers as a high replacement priority for the organization;
- Sweeper fleet experiencing increased downtime for unscheduled repairs; and
- Toronto's Wet Weather Flow Master Plan identified street sweeping as a Source Control measure
- TORONTO Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office

# Internal and External Stakeholders Internal Stakeholders Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office Fleet Services Toronto Public Health Occupational Health and Safety Purchasing Services Legal Services External Stakeholder City of Hamilton Environment Canada

TORONTO Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office

# Air Quality in Toronto PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels in Toronto routinely exceed the acceptable Provincial AAQC and CWS values

- Both  $\rm PM_{10}$  and  $\rm PM_{2,5}$  are significant health concerns and year round health hazard especially at "nose-level" on City's arterial roads
- 1,200 premature deaths attributable to chronic exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub>
- 180 premature deaths attributable to acute exposure to  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{PM}_{10}}$
- Fine particulates cause respiratory and cardiovascular problems
- TORONTO Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office

# What is Particulate Matter

- The major source of PM<sub>10</sub> in Toronto is an invisible fraction of "Fine Road Dust";
- Particulate Matter (PM) + Ozone = Smog;
- "Fine Road Dust" comes from tire wear, asphalt wear, clutch and brake wear;
- PM<sub>10</sub> was identified as a "Toxic" substance in May 2000 by the Canadian Ministers of Health and Minister of Environment (under Canadian Environmental Protection Agency);
- Inhalable particulate matter (IP or PM<sub>10</sub>)
- Respirable particulate matter (RP or PM<sub>2.5</sub>)
- Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office









# Early Air Quality Modelling Results

- New street sweeper technology such as: regenerative air, vacuums assist street sweepers are currently available and are reportedly capable of removing at least 80% of fine road dust (PM<sub>10</sub>);
- Modelling suggested that an 80% removal of fine road dust ( $PM_{10}$ ) will provide 25%-30% improvement in the City's  $PM_{10}$  air quality and even greater improvement is expected for pedestrians and cyclists on the City's arterial roads.
- Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office























### Street Monitoring Fine Road Dust **Street Monitoring Fine Road Dust** Findings **Future Work** Toronto has an air quality problem related to Fine Road Dust ( $PM_{10} \& PM_{2.5}$ ); Continue Monitoring Fine Road Dust Daytime Street Levels of PM exceed Ambient Air Quality Criteria (AAQC) all Day; Concentrations (Mobile and Stationary) and compare old and new technology street sweeper effectiveness; • Problem varies with various factors: Sweeping Frequency Land Use Traffic Volumes Time of Day • Investigate the relationship between Street Type of Vehicular Traffic • Weather; Design and Building Configurations and Concentrations of Fine Road Dust; Confirms the need to assess the potential of "New Technology" Street Sweepers in reducing the concentration below AAQC levels; TORONTO Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office TORONTO Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office

### LIDAR Test

What is LIDAR

LIDAR – Light Detection And Ranging technology, is remote sensing equipment using emitting laser light pulses to measure the fine road dust's plume concentration and movement as the signals bounces back to a receiver

TORONTO Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office

### **LIDAR** Test

**Purpose of LIDAR Testing** 

- Test whether we can use LIDAR as a **tool** to evaluate street sweeping activity;
- Obtain visual records and air contamination levels of various street sweepers technology under a number of operational conditions;
- LIDAR equipment provides the ability to track Fine Road Dust (Fine Particulate Matter,  $PM_{10'}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ ) from City roads under real conditions;
- TORONTO Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office



































- Sweeping disturbs road dust creating an even worse re-entrainment problem;
- Cross-sectional images of the plume of Fine Road Dust generated by the mechanical sweepers were recorded with the data showing that approximately 80% of  $\rm PM_{10}$  stays below 2 metres (vertically) and stays within 10 metres (horizontally) during sweeping;
- Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office





- Air guality model;
- **Operational Performance;**
- Maintenance and Downtime Costs;
- Protocols
- Evaluate PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> efficiency Evaluate operational performance;
- Implemented several studies understanding the nose-level air quality and the relationship between air quality and street sweeping activity;
- Stormwater quality;
- Street sweepings management and disposal;
- Occupational Health and Safety review, handling and cleaning procedures;
- Monitoring air quality during street sweeping
- TORONTO Transportation Services Division



# **Toronto Protocol Development**

### Why Dustless

- The critical value of determining the most effective PM sweeper is its ability to operate without putting excessive  $\mathsf{PM}_{10} \And \mathsf{PM}_{2.5}$  into the air that we breathe.
- Reduce the exposure of cyclist, pedestrian and motorists using our right-of-ways
- Permits sweeping during smog days and reduces smog impacts on population

### Why Waterless

- Capability of sweeping year-around
- Avoid toxic loads being washed down catchbasins that impact the stormwater quality and the cost of treatment
- TORONTO Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office

# **Toronto Protocol Development**

### Why Shroudless

- Operational performance affected (i.e. problem picking up leaves, large debris);
- Shrouds are too easily and frequently damaged;
- · Damaged shrouds affects removal and entrainment efficiency:
- Leaves a stream of silt debris next to the curb;

TORONTO Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office

### **Toronto Protocol Development**

### **General Test Concepts**

- · Controllable and Replicable Conditions Test
- Use Average of Three Tests
- Use Manufacture's recommended speed or 8-10 km/h if absent
- Pre-Vacuum Test Surface
- Known Quantity of Test Material Applied (PM<sub>10</sub> Only)
- Pre and Post-Test Weight of Sweeper
- Post-Vacuum Test Surface
- Measure Removal & Entrianment Efficiency
- Not a Pass/Fail Approach
- Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office



### **Toronto Protocol Development**

Vacuuming and Spreading

- Test Strips were separated into twelve quandrants for the spreading of the test material;
- Test track was divided into eight quandrants that were vacuumed and evaluated as separate areas;
  - Four quandrants for the inside of the test track
  - Two quandrants for the sidewalk portion of the test track
- Two quandrants, outside portion of the test track Monitoring
- Used eight monitors four  $\rm PM_{10}$  and four  $\rm PM_{2.5}$  with two  $\rm PM_{10}$  and two  $\rm PM_{2.5}$  monitors in the centre of the track operated 20 hours/day
- TORONTO Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office

# PM<sub>10</sub> & PM<sub>2.5</sub> Street Sweeper Efficiency Test Protocol

The protocol establishes a method to gauge the year round efficiency of street sweepers for their removal of  $\rm PM_{10}$  and  $\rm PM_{2.5}$  from typical Toronto urban streets and their ability to limit the amount of  $\rm PM_{10}$  entrained into the air during the sweeping process.

Two key methods used in the evaluation of the street sweepers:

- how much material was picked up/left behind by the street sweeper and
- how much material was entrained by the street sweeper

TORONTO Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office

# PM<sub>10</sub> & PM<sub>2.5</sub> Street Sweeper Efficiency Test Protocol PM Criteria and Thresholds

- Pick-up Removal Efficiency (%) More than 90%
- Deposit on Sidewalk Efficiency (%) Less than 0.08%
- Air Contamination PM<sub>10</sub>
  - Maximum Concentration Less than 0.08 mg/m³/kg
     Total Concentration Less than 11 mg/m³/kg
- Air Contamination PM<sub>2.5</sub>
   Maximum Concentration Less than 0.02 mg/m<sup>3</sup>/kg
  - Total Concentration Less than 5.0 mg/m<sup>3</sup>/kg
- TORONTO Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office































op Four Street Sweepers – Test Results					
Sweeper Company's Name		А	в	с	D
Street Sweeper Technology		Mechanical	Regenerative- Air	Vacuum- Assist	Vacuum- Assist
PM10 Criteria	Unit	Avg. of All Test Dates	Avg. of All Test Dates	7/24/2004	Avg. of All Tes Dates
Removal Efficiency		85.16%	90.31%	80.81%	90.169
% Sidewalk Efficiency		0.23%	0.07%	0.09%	0.189
Air Contamination PM <sub>10</sub> Maximum Concentration	[mg/m <sup>3</sup> ]/kg	0.08	0.03	0.08	0.2
Air Contamination PM <sub>10</sub> Total Concentration	[mg/m <sup>3</sup> ]/kg	18.54	10.35	7.01	45.3
Air Contamination PM <sub>2.5</sub> Maximum Concentration	[mg/m <sup>3</sup> ]/kg	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.0
Air Contamination PM <sub>2.5</sub> Total Concentration	[ma/m <sup>3</sup> ]/ka	5.44	3.95	3.24	7.7

Street Company's Name	В	Α	F	
Street Sweeper Technology	Regenerative-Air	Mechanical	Mechanical	
% of PM10 in Silt Loading	3%	3%	3%	
Estimated Quantity of PM <sub>10</sub> in Silt Loading Area 1 South District per month (kg)	19,132.10	19,132.10	19,132.10	
Quantity of PM <sub>10</sub> Deposited on the Sidewalk Area 1 South District per month (kg)	13.39	44.00	na	
Quantity of PM <sub>10</sub> Left Behind on Road Surface Area 1 South District per month	1,853.90	2,839.20	3,583.44	
Comparison of Sweeper B and Sweeper A Quantity of additional PM <sub>10</sub> left behind by Sweeper A (kg)		+985.30		
Comparison of Sweeper B and Sweeper F Quantity of additional PM <sub>10</sub> left behind by Sweeper F (kg)			+1,729.54	
Note: Average debris hauled over three month	h period April-June is 6	37,736.66 kilogr	ams	









# **Operational On-Street Test**

Key operational requirements evaluated:

- Wet road surface condition pick-up efficiency;
- Maneuverability around parked cars pick-up efficiency;
- Leaf pick-up efficiency;
- Large debris pick-up efficiency;
- Heavy silt loading pick-up efficiency; and
- Operate sweeper without gutter brooms pickup efficiency
- TORONTO Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office

### **Operational On-Street Test**

# **Summary of Findings**

Operational Requirements	Pick-up	Pick-up	
	Efficiency (%)	Efficiency (%)	
	Mechanical	Regenerative-air	
Maneuverability	16%	36%	
Pick-up Large Debris	90%	93%	
Leaf Removal	93%	97%	
Heavy Silt Loading	95%	98%	
Sweeping During Wet Conditions	62%	89%	
Dustless Sweeping (without gutter brooms)	n/a	87%	

















### **Performance Evaluation**

**Summary of Criteria Evaluated** 

Daily Maintenance by Operator - Cleaning hopper; Washing Sweeper; Fueling; Cleaning filters; and Cleaning Dust Trap

Daily Mechanical Maintenance - Replacing gutter brooms; shift pick-up head; replace pick-up head broom; replace water filter; and accessibility of parts

Parts Availability - Mock-up order of warranty and outside warranty parts

Operator Evaluation - visibility; ergonomics; comfort; noise in cab; dust in cab and safety features

Transportation Services Division

# Stormwater Quality Evaluation

**Study Objective** 

To assess the improvement of stormwater quality by street sweeping, one of the source controls included in the Toronto Wet Weather Flow Master Plan

Project implemented in 2004 and 2005, collaboration between Environment Canada, Toronto Water and Transportation Services Division

# **Stormwater Quality Evaluation**

### **Study Results**

- new technology regenerative-air street sweepers provide the greatest environmental benefits by reducing the total mass or road deposited sediment after sweeping and dissolved metals in runoff;
- Key for street sweeping to be effective source control the following measures must be considered:
  - Sweep prior to rainfall and often as practical;
  - Areas with high level of pollution (such as arterial roads and industrial areas) should receive more frequent sweeping;
  - Sweepers must be clean and maintained properly;
  - Operators must be trained to achieve the best performance



![](_page_15_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office

Benefits					
Summary of Sweepings Hauled (tonnes)					
Month	2006	2007			
April	274.98	758.00			
Мау	359.14	683.00			
June	325.90	304.22			
July	212.46	172.48			
Total Sweepings Hauled	1172.48	1917.90			

TORONTO Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

### **Benefits**

- Reduction of airborne particulate matter at street level, by at least 219
- Improvement in air quality will be beneficial to the general health of City's residents, workers and visitors;
- Reduces the number of cases of acute and chronic exposure of fine particulates; Improves stormwater quality and reduces the cost of stormwater treatment;
- Reduces maintenance costs
- Reduces downtime for unscheduled repairs Permits sweeping during smog days and will help to reduce smog impacts; •
- Capable of street sweeping year-around; and
- Improve the level of street sweeping service across the City

# TORONTO Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office

### **Municipal Requirement**

Third Party Testing

- Developed a testing protocols and criteria to objectively evaluate the environmental and operational effectiveness of street sweepers now and in the future;
- ETV and PAMI were contracted by the City of Toronto to review the Protocol and witness the testing;
- A number of municipalities have indicated support in adopting the PM Efficiency Test and criteria as a new street sweeping municipal standard; and
- Tymco DST-6 regenerative-air street sweeper received and Environmental Technology Verification Certificate verifying the performance claims achieved using the City of Toronto Test Protocol.
- Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office

## **Enhancements to the CRCA Program**

### **Best Practices**

- Handling and cleaning of street sweepers occupational health and safety review of procedures;
   Air quality inside cab;
- Dust trap and dust filter cleaning procedures; Waste stream management including:
  - Storage of street sweeping debris in yards;
    Manage sweepings entering catchbasins; and
    Disposal and potential diversion of sweepings;
- Monitoring changes of PM concentrations on swept City streets; •
- On-going evaluation of the street sweeping frequency and street sweeper complement; and Incorporating PM efficient street sweepers in post construction sweeping contracts
- ٠
- TORONTO Transportation Services Division Toronto Environment Office

# Enhancements to the CRCA Program 2008 Street Sweeper Monitoring To assess the effectiveness of City's new "regenerative-air" street sweepers in improving $\rm PM_{10}$ and $\rm PM_{2.5}$ in Toronto; • Ambient Air Quality Concentrations Dust Disturbance by Sweepers To evaluate the harmonized street sweeper level of service by geography and road classification; To report back to Council on • the effectiveness of new street sweepers; • resource requirements; and

• impact to operating budget

![](_page_17_Picture_14.jpeg)